



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/059,141	01/31/2002	Bernard B. Fresco	4029-24 HSF	5993	
1059 7	7590 02/27/2004		EXAMINER		
BERESKIN AND PARR			SUGARMAN, SCOTT J		
SCOTIA PLAZ					
40 KING STREET WEST-SUITE 4000 BOX 401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TORONTO, C	ON M5H 3Y2		2873		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 02/27/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/059,141	FRESCO, BERNA	FRESCO, BERNARD B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Scott J. Sugarman	2873	AN	
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty lory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this co		
Status		•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>10 November</u> 2003.			
)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for	, 	rs, prosecution as to the	merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the app	plication			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-16</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9 and 17</u> is/are rejected	I.			
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,10 and 11</u> is/are objected				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the drawing(s	i) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	To read the form of the second	(2) (2) (.)		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority do		plication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been re	eceived in this National	Stage	
application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not re	eceived.		
Attachment(c)				
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	9-948) Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-24-03</u>. 	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO	-152)	
1 aper 140(3)/141ail Date 11-24-03.	o/ [_] Ouler	- •		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 7-9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okano (US 5,305,028). Okano et al in Figure 1 teaches a multifocal ophthalmic lens having a distance optical center (3) having a first portion (1) with first focal properties, the first focal properties being substantially constant throughout the first portion; a second portion (2) having second focal properties extending downwardly from a location adjacent the distance optical center and having a focal length that varies progressively downwardly in the second portion; and two regions (the regions of portion 1 adjacent portion 2) on either side of the second portion, each of the regions having substantially constant focal properties. Since all of portion 1 is distance, the two regions have the same focal properties of the first portion.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ewer et al (US 4,806,010). Ewer et al in Figure 2 teaches a multifocal ophthalmic lens having a distance optical center having a first portion (1) with first focal properties, the first focal properties being substantially constant throughout the first portion; a second portion (3) having second focal properties extending downwardly from a location adjacent the

Art Unit: 2873

distance optical center and having a focal length that varies progressively downwardly in the second portion; and two regions (the regions on either side of dotted lines 9) in the near portion (2), each of the regions having substantially constant focal properties. Since portion 2 is near, at least one of the two regions has different focal properties from the first portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okano or Ewer et al. Okano and Ewer et al are cited for reasons set forth above, but do not specifically teach that at least one of the regions has an infinite focal length (essentially no power, or plano). Providing a particular power to any portion or region of the lens is a function of the prescriptive requirements of the wearer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a plano powered region (of infinite focal length) in either the lens of Okano or Ewer et al, since it is merely a function of the prescriptive requirements of the wearer.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 5, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 12-16 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of independent claim 12, in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in independent claim 12, which include the joining of a long-distance lens piece with a progressive lens piece in the manner described. In the dependent claims it is the feature of the two regions being different from one another as well as the two regions can be separate lens pieces.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (571)272-2340.

Art Unit: 2873

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott J. Sugarmar Primary Exammer Art Unit 2873

sjs February 5, 2004